



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

2142
#3a
S. Sand
3/17/03

Applicant: Brewer
Appl. No.: 09/494,218
Filed: January 28, 2000
Title: System and Method for Loading Resolved Java ...

Art Unit: 2142
Examiner: Blair
Docket: TI-28385

RECEIVED

MAR 13 2003

Technology Center 2100

Assistant Commissioner
for Patents
Box NonFee Amendment
Washington, DC 20231

MAILING CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 today.

Gracia Sansom 3-5-03
Gracia Sansom Date

AMENDMENT AND/OR ARGUMENT

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed 12/05/2002, please amend as shown on the attached sheets: "Version with markings to show changes made" and "Clean copy".

REMARKS

Claims 1-9 are pending in the application with all claims rejected. Reexamination and reconsideration are hereby requested.

Claim 5 was rejected as anticipated by Brown. The Examiner cited column 7, lines 25-44 for the gateway.

Applicant replies that Brown column 7, lines 25-44 describes creation of FCCFs (fully caffeinated class files) in a server which are delivered directly to a client as illustrated in Brown Fig. 1; there is no suggestion of a gateway between the server and the clients as required by claim 5. Note that claim 5 has been amended to put the server in the preamble to provide antecedent basis for the "said server" in the first clause.

Claims 1-3, 6-7, and 9 were rejected as unpatentable over Brown in view of Mishra. The Examiner added Mishra to show sending only new portions of a file.

Applicant repeats the foregoing argument regarding the gateway and Brown.

Claim 4 was rejected as unpatentable over Brown in view of Mishra and Arnold.

Applicant repeats the foregoing argument regarding the gateway and Brown.

Claim 8 was rejected as unpatentable over Brown in view of Arnold.

Applicant repeats the foregoing argument regarding the gateway and Brown. Consequently, the claims are patentable over the references.